

SHAHEED BENAZIR BHUTTO WOMEN UNIVERSITY Quality Enhancement Cell

BEST UNIVERSITY RESEARCHER AWARD (Nomination Proforma) Year 2021

PART-A: BACK GROUND INFORMATION AND QUALIFICATION

1.	GENERAL
	i. Name of Teacher
	ii. Father's Name
	iii. Date of Birth
	iv. C.N.I.C. No
	v. Name of University
	vi. Department
	vii. Present position
	viii. Regular /Contractual
	ix. Postal address
	x. Phone NoFax No
	xi. E-mail
Not	te: Each sub section may be calculated as per following formula:
	Marks Secured * (weightage) Maximum Marks

QUALIFICATIONS

(Weight age: 15%)

S/No.	Qualification	Detail	Maximum Marks	Status ✓
i.	MS/M.Phil or Equivalent	18 Years Qualification	3	
ii.	Ph.D		5	
		Total Marks	05	

PART-B: RESEARCH (Weight age: 85%)

1.	Research Projects Won (25%)		Detail	Number of projects	Marks Obtained
	i.	Research Projects funded by International Agencies.	12 Marks for each completed project during last year		
	ii.	Research Projects funded by National Agencies	10 Marks for each completed project during last year		
			Total Marks 32		

2	Research Publication (40%) (Concerned Year)	Details	No. of Publications	Marks obtained
i	International publication with impact factor as a first author. (A paper published by a faculty member as corresponding author with her/his research student will be given the weightage as of first author)	4 Marks per paper during the period under evaluation		
ii	International publication with impact factor as a co-author.	2 Marks per paper during the period under evaluation		
iii	Res. Publication in refereed local research journals of Int. repute recognized by HEC as a 1 st author. (A paper published by a faculty member as corresponding author with her/his research student will be given the weightage as of first author)	2 Marks per paper during the period under evaluation		
iv	Res. publication in refereed local research journals of Int. repute recognized by HEC as a co-author.	1 Mark per paper during the period under evaluation		
v	Oral Presentation in International Conference in the year concerned.	2 Marks for presentation made per conference		
vi	Oral Presentation in national Conference in the year concerned	1 Mark for presentation made per conference		
		Total Marks 60		

3.	Resc 20%	earch supervision (Weightage: 6)	Detail	Number of Students	Marks obtained
		As a supervisor Ph.D.	6 Marks per research supervised during the period under evaluation.		
	i.	As a co-supervisor.	3 Marks per research supervised during the period under evaluation.		
		As a supervisor MS/M.Phil	4 Marks per research supervised during the period under evaluation.		
	ii.	As a co-supervisor MS/M.Phil.	2 Marks per research supervised during the period under evaluation.		
	iii.	As a supervisor M.A/M.Sc/BS	2 Marks per student supervised during the period under evaluation		
	•	Total Marks 44	1		

Part C: Books published and chapters contributed will have additional marks. These marks will be added to the total score obtained for the faculty having such contribution. This will be not a basic criteria but an added one.

С	Books Authored/Chapters Contribution	Details	No. of Books/ Chapters	Marks obtained
i	Number of Books Authored (not edited)/Chapters contributed and published by International Publisher	9 Marks per book/ chapters during the period under evaluation		
ii	Number of Books Authored (not edited)/Chapters contributed and published by national Publisher	6 Marks per book/chapters during the period under evaluation		
		Total Marks 15		

Part D: Number of Registered Patents at National/International level will have additional marks. These marks will be added to the total score obtained for the faculty having such contribution. This will be not a basic criteria but an added one.

D	Registered Patents at National/International level	Details	No. of patents	Marks obtained
i	Number of registered patents at National level	02 marks for each registered patents		

ii	Number of registered patents at International level	03 marks for each registered patents	
		Total Marks 5	

Part E: Commercialization of Registered Patents will have additional marks. These marks will be added to the total score obtained for the faculty having such contribution. This will be not a basic criteria but an added one.

Ε	Commercialization of Registered Patents	Details	No. of Commercialized patents	Marks obtained
i	Commercialization of registered patents	05 marks for commercialization registered patents		
		Total Marks 5		

CALCULATIONS AND SCORING:

Part	Scoring Areas	Total Marks	Marks Obtained	Weightage allocated	Weighted Score*
\boldsymbol{A}	Qualification	05	-	15%	-
B1	Research projects	32	-	25%	-
B2	Research Publications	60	-	40%	-
<i>B3</i>	Research Supervision	44	-	20%	-
Total		141	-	100 %	-
Additional Par	rameters				
C	Books/Chapters	15	-	-	-
D	Patents	5	-	-	-
E	Commercialization	5	-	-	-
Total ,	Score Obtained	-	-	-	-

List of documents attached:

Sr.	Documents to be attached – Attested by the Dean/Registrar	Yes	Annexure
No			
1.	List of names and number of courses taught during the year of nomination		A
2.	Approved timetables for both semesters Fall and Spring		
3.	Recent passport size photograph		В
4.	Copy of Detailed CV – attestation not required		С
5.	Copy of M.A/M.Sc/BS Degree		D
6.	Copy of M.Phil/ M.S./18years Equivalent qualification		Е
7	Degree		
7.	Copy of PhD Degree		
8.	Copy of Post-Doctoral Fellowship Abroad		G
9.	List of research projects funded by the national funding agency won/completed during the last year		H
10.	Notification of each project by the University mentioned in Sr. No. 9		I
11.	List of research projects funded by the international funding agency won/completed during the last year		J
12.	Notification of each project by the University mentioned in Sr. No. 11		K
13.	List of International Publications in Impact Factor Journal as a first Author, mentioning the year of publication		L
14.	Copy of the publications mentioned against Sr. no. 13		M
15.	List of International Publications in Impact Factor Journal as a co-author, mentioning the year of publication		N
16.	Copy of the publications mentioned against Sr. no. 15		О
17.	List of National Publications in refereed local journals of international repute recognized by HEC as first Author, mentioning the year of publication		P
18.	Copy of the Publications mentioned against Sr. No. 17		Q
19.	List of National Publications in refereed local journals of international repute recognized by HEC as co-author, mentioning the year of publication		R
20.	Copy of the Publications mentioned against Sr. No. 19		S
21.	List of books published and details		T
22.	List of papers presented and published during the years of nomination a. International		U
	b. National		
23.	Copy of the papers mentioned in Sr. 22 above		V
24.	Separate lists of students supervised at Maters/BS/M.Phil/MS and PhD level with a copy of approval page of thesis supervised by the teacher including Supervisor's name.		W

Note:
1. Anti-Plagiarism Certificate is to be attached with each publication mentioned.

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the nominee Ms/Mrs	has 1 year consecutive
service in this university and all relevant documents of	of the nominee have been checked and
examined. The recommendations have been made keeping	ng in view the Terms & Conditions and
criteria set by the University. Dully attested supporting docu	uments are attached with this proforma.
Remarks of the HoD/ Coordinator:	
	Name: Designation:
	HoD/Coordinator, Department of :
Remarks of the concerned Dean:	
Remarks of the concerned Dean.	
	Name:
	Designation: Dean faculty of:

Dated:

CERTIFICATE

It is certified that that the nominee Ms.	/Mrshas one year	
consecutive service in this university and	is fulfilling all criteria set by the University for the Best	
University Researcher Award. All releva	ant documents of the nominee have been checked and	
examined by the members of the Select	ion Committee and recommendations have been made	
keeping in view the Terms and Condition	s and Criteria set by the University. The Proformae and	
supporting documents of the nominee an	nd the applicants along with the merit list are attached	
herewith.		
Members of the Committee		
	(2)	
1)	(2)	
Signature:	Signature:	
Name:	Name:	
Designation:	Designation:	
S. C.	G(+,	
Stamp:	Stamp:	
3)	4)	
Signature:	Signature:	
Name:	Name:	
Designation:	Designation:	
Stamp:	Stamp:	
5)	6)	
Signature:	Signature:	
Name:	Name:	
Designation:	Designation:	
Stamp:	Stamp:	
Dated:		
Submitted to the Worthy Vice Chancellor for a	pproval, please	
·	• • •	

TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR SELECTION OF THE BEST UNIVERSITY RESEARCHER AWARD

- 1. The award consist of cash prize Rs. 25000/- (Rupees twenty five thousand) with a certificate.
- 2. The competition for the award will be intra-university and only one award will be offered every year subject to the fulfillment of required criteria.
- 3. The following categories of the Teachers will be eligible for the award:
 - a. Regular Full-time faculty member
 - b. Contractual Full-time faculty member
- 4. The name of the Best University Researcher shall be recommended to the Vice Chancellor by the selection committee chaired by the Director ORIC comprising of the Registrar, Director QEC, Treasurer, Dean of the relevant faculty and HOD of the relevant department. The Director QEC will be the secretary of the Selection Committee.
- 5. The selection committee will see that:
 - a. The eligibility criteria are being met
 - b. It should not recommend anyone amongst themselves
 - c. The name of the sitting Vice Chancellor should not be recommended
- 6. The selection committee of the university will recommend the top scoring researcher on the prescribed proforma in order of merit along with the required documents clearly indicating the score of the researcher evaluated as per prescribed guidelines/criteria and ensure that the nominee in not involved in plagiarism. The merit list of all other researchers evaluated along with required documents must also be placed before the Vice Chancellor.
- 7. Reasons for recommending the best researcher of the university (must be provided in writing on a separate page).
- 8. Certificate recorded on the last page of the proforma should be signed by the Members of the Selection Committee with their official stamps.
- 9. There should be no disciplinary proceedings or adverse remarks in the ACRs against a nominee during the last year.
- 10. A researcher who has already received a best university researcher award could be reconsidered for this award every year.
- 11. The maximum age limit of the award may be considered as the age of superannuation (currently 60 years).
- 12. Selection committee will entertain the nominations received through proper channel only.
- 13. Minimum qualifying score out of 100 will be 50 for the first year, 55 for the second year and 60 from third year onward however for fine arts the minimum qualifying score will be 50 and additional 10% weight age may be given by the Selection Committee at the University keeping in view the excellence of work in their field.
- 14. At least 75% attendance of the teacher in the subjects taught must be ensured.
- 15. The final short listing will be done by a selection committee of the University which will evaluate the performance as per following mechanism/criteria:

a. Qualification 15%

	i. MS/M.Phil or Equivalent	5%
	ii. PhD	10%
b .	Research 85%	
	i. Research Projects	25%
	ii. Research Publications	40%
	iii. Research Supervision	20%
c.	Books/Chapters	15 Marks
d.	Patents	05 Marks
e.	Commercialization	05 Marks

- 16. The Vice Chancellor will be the approving Authority for the grant of the Best Researcher of the Year Award on the recommendation of the selection committee in the light of the supporting documents.
- 17. Each column of the proforma should be marked clearly (cutting and overwriting will not be acceptable.